## A Checklist for HTA Reports

This summary form is intended as an aid in recording of the extent to which a health technology assessment (HTA) report meets the 15 questions given in the checklist. To be completed and sent to the INAHTA Secretariat by email (secretariat@inahta.org).

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports – reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

An introduction, background, objective, context of material in the HTA checklist, etc is available at www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist

Reference of rep	ort	ı	NJEX Needle Free D	rug Del	ivery System						
Organization (acronym)			MaHTAS, Health Technology Assessment Section								
Name of person completing the checklist			Dr. Nur Farhana Mohamad								
Email dr.nurfarhanamohamad@gmail.com											
Item							Yes	Yes Partly No			
Preliminary											
1. Appropriate contact details for further information?							<b>V</b>				
2. Authors identified?							V				
3. Statement regarding conflict of interest?							V				
4. Statement on whether report externally reviewed?							V				
5. Short summary in non-technical language?							V				
Why?											
6. Reference to the policy question that is addressed?							<b>V</b>				
7. Reference to the research question that is addressed?							V				
8. Scope of the assessment specified?							<b>V</b>				
9. Description of the assessed health technology?							V				
How?											
10. Details on so	urces of	informati	on and literature s	search	strategies p	rovide	d?				
Search	Datal	oases	Year		Language		Primary		Other kind of		
strategy	V		range Y	re	estriction		data N		information resources		
Y	Y		T T		N		IN		163	Y	
Complete reference list			List of	Inclusion					Exclusi	on	
of included studies		excluded studies		criteria			criteria				
Υ		N		Y			Y				
11. Information o	n basis f	or the as	sessment and inte	erpreta	ation of selec	ted da	ata and i	nforr	mation'	?	
			tical appraisal Method of data				Results of the				
extraction described?		method (for quality synthesis described			oed?	? assessment clearly					
N		assessment of the literature) described?				presented, eg, in the for of evidence tables?					
IN		iiteratu	Y	N			N N				
Context?											
	n contex	t (may or	may not apply to ea	ach HT.	A).						
(Medico-) legal							Other perspectives				
implications	implications analysis considered? provided		implications considered?				(stakeholders, patients, consumers) considered?				
considered?			considere	ur	N		N N			isiuei eū ?	
What then?							Yes	Pa	artly	No	
13. Findings of the assessment discussed?							V				
14. Conclusions	from ass	essment	clearly stated?				V				
15. Suggestions	for furthe	er action?					<b>√</b>			_	